

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/085,239	Applicant(s) WARD ET AL.		
	Examiner Leslie A. Royds Draper	Art Unit 1629		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds Draper (Exr).</u>	(3) _____.			
(2) <u>Sean Coughlin (Attny for Applicant).</u>	(4) _____.			
Date of Interview: <u>31 March 2011</u>	Time: <u>PM</u>			
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 40,43 and 47 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization was given by Applicant's representative for the attached Examiner's Amendment. Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
/Leah A. Royds Draper/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629 <div style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</div>				